

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/049,701	701 04/28/2002		Ilan Samson	2613RI-1	7723
22442	7590	12/30/2005	2005 EXAMINER		INER
SHERIDA		PC .	HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE		
1560 BROA SUITE 120				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DENVER,	-	2	3727		

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/049,701	SAMSON, ILAN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robin A. Hylton	3727
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Street</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 14-19 and 25 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 14-19,25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. r election requirement.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplication as a solution and accomplication as a solution and accomplication as a solution as	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/049,701

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 14-16,18,19, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heinz et al. (US 2,657,836).

Heinz teaches a cup portion 4 and lid 1, the lid having a spout, a plug 5 inserted within the spout, and a skirt 3 for attachment to the cup. The plug has ridges in a continuous helical pattern formed by the helical grooves 6 and 7. The spout allows for the cup contents to flow out of the cup through the grooves and out of the spout opening 2. Heinz does not teach a liquid in the cup and is silent regarding the specific dimensions of the cup as set forth in the claims of the instant application.

It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the cup; i.e., the cup portion, the lid including spout and plug, of a size to allow the flow of fluid as set forth in the instant claims since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Heinz teaches the lid and plug are preferably formed of plastic material, but are not limited thereto. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. To form the detachable member of an elastomeric material would allow for a more compressible material to be inserted further into the spout and provide a more engaged fit therebetween.

Application/Control Number: 10/049,701

Art Unit: 3727

It is noted the intended use of the cup of Heinz is for a granular material. The cup can also be used to hold and dispense liquid material. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. As set forth herein, the cup of Heinz is capable of performing the function of the intended use of the instant invention.

3. Claims 4-19 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buchman et al. (US 5,890,621) in view of Boese (US 3,102,651).

Buchman teaches the claimed cup except for the detachable member being a plug having continuous helical ridges.

Boese teaches a flow controlling, detachable member of plastic composition. The plug can be formed of other materials (see column 4, lines 30-31) and is seen in figure 2A to have continuous ridges.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the detachable member of Boese for the detachable member of Bachmann.

Doing so provides a one piece flow controlling plug easier to clean and use.

It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the cup, i.e., the cup portion, the lid including spout and plug, of a size to allow the flow of fluid as set forth in the instant claims since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/049,701

Art Unit: 3727

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14-19 and 25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 5. This Office action is made non-final in view of the new grounds of rejection.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Various prior art closures teaching features similar to those disclosed and/or claimed are cited for their disclosures.
- 7. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (571) 273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.
- 8. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

The l	I hereby certify that this correspondence for Application Serial No is being facsimiled to U.S. Patent and Trademark Office via fax number 571-273-8300 on the date shown below:
	Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate
	Signature
	Date

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

Art Unit: 3727

should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4540. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Newhouse, can be reached on (571) 272-4544.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Errica Miller at (571) 272-4370.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit:

- Allowed Files & Publication (888) 786-0101
- Assignment Branch (800) 972-6382
- Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309
- Fee Questions (571) 272-6400
- Inventor Assistance Center (800) PTO-9199
- Petitions/special Programs (571) 272-3282
- Information Help line 1-800-786-9199
- Internet PTO-Home Page http://www.uspto.gov.

RAH December 27, 2005

> Robin A. Hylton Primary Examiner

GAU 3727